

Meeting Agenda
Marking Technical Committee
National Committee on Uniform Traffic Control Devices
June 28-30, 2006 – Overland Park, KS

Draft agenda as of April 27, 2006

See MTC website at <http://tcd.tamu.edu/documents/tcd-mtc/mtc.htm> for the most recent agenda

Material to bring to meeting and review beforehand if possible:

- Sponsor ballot comments (if any)
- I. Call to order (Dave Woodin)
 - A. Self introductions
 - B. Distribution of attendance rosters (each day)
 - C. Committee membership information
 - II. Committee business
 - A. Approval of January 2006 minutes (see MTC website)
 - B. Summary of FHWA-Technical Chairs meeting (Gene Hawkins)
 - C. Report from the Edit Committee (Tim Taylor)
 - III. Act on sponsor ballots (see NCUTCD website for sponsor comments)
 - A. Splatter markings (Dave Jones)
 - IV. Presentations (scheduled at various times to accommodate speakers' schedules)
 - A. TBD (contact Gene Hawkins with requests for presentations)
 - V. Review status of MTC task forces
 - A. Rumble strips and rumble stripes – Duane Thomas
 1. Members: Duane Thomas (chair), J. Kalchbrenner, N. Hodson, D. Jones, S. Zeller, G. Thamer, D. Alsabrook, J. Shamay
 2. Charge
 - a. Determine whether rumble strips and/or rumble stripes are traffic control devices.
 - b. Develop Part 3 language that describes the use of:
 - i. Edge line rumble strips
 - ii. Centerline rumble strips
 - iii. Transverse rumble strips
 - iv. Language should address:
 - (A) Location with regard to longitudinal markings
 - (B) Profile markings with similar audio effect
 - (C) Patterns, applications, and locations
 - (D) Difference when stripes are placed over rumble strips
 - B. Safe routes to schools – Jim Ellison
 1. Members: Jim Ellison (chair), S. Vetter, R. Dyer, J. Tidabek, R. Airomloo, K. Kaufman, Z. Ougdah, E. Huckaby, G. Rowe
 2. Charge:
 - a. Determine what eligible activities affect Part 3 and develop language accordingly.

- b. Consider addressing:
 - i. Higher visibility crosswalks (color)
 - ii. Higher visibility crosswalks (pattern)
 - iii. School Zone speed limit pavement markings
 - iv. Pavement markings (centerline or transverse) to identify a school zone
 - v. Regional vs. neighborhood school zone applications
- C. Markings for electronic toll collection lanes – Scott Thorson
 - 1. Members: Scott Thorson (chair), B. Lambert, M. Bodin, D. Hockstra, S. McDonald, PD Kiser
 - 2. Charge:
 - a. Develop Part 3 language that addresses the issue of how to identify ETC (EZ Pass) lane using pavement markings.
 - b. Consider addressing:
 - i. Color, especially purple
 - ii. Pattern, symbols, word messages
 - iii. Review Wilbur Smith best practices for current practice
- D. Yellow green markings - Jim Kalchbrenner
 - 1. Members: Jim Kalchbrenner (chair), M. Suter, N. Hodson, J. Tidabek, H. Jensen, F. Peil
 - 2. Charge:
 - a. Develop Part 3 language that addresses the use of yellow-green markings.
 - b. Consider addressing:
 - i. Longitudinal vs. transverse markings
 - ii. Bike lanes (associated with non-motorized crossings)
 - iii. Determine appropriate term (“Strong”?)
 - iv. Outreach to ongoing experimental applications (check with Bryan Katz, FHWA)
 - v. Material considerations
- E. NCHRP Synthesis recommendations – Dave Woodin
 - 1. Members: Dave Woodin (chair), E. Huckaby, T. Taylor, S. Zeller, S. McDonald, D. Centa, P. Garvey
 - 2. Charge:
 - a. Identify practices that are close to consensus, but not in the MUTCD. Develop Part 3 language that would define consistent use.
 - b. Identify practices with lots of different approaches and develop Part 3 language that would provide guidance to improve consistency in practice.
- F. Colored Pavements – Steve Bolt
 - 1. Members: Steve Bolt (chair), R. Aiomloo, J. Bemelin, J. Marcuson, J. Sproul
 - 2. Charge:
 - a. Determination of whether colored pavements are a traffic control device
 - b. Develop Part 3 language that would address the use of colored pavements.
 - c. Consider addressing:
 - i. Use of red colored pavement for entrance points for emergency vehicle entry points
 - ii. Bike lane applications
 - iii. Traffic calming applications (European experience)

- iv. Red as a prohibitive regulatory application (i.e. restoration of pre-2000 language)
- VI. Potential new task forces
 - A. Should statement for crosswalk markings
 - 1. Members:
 - 2. Charge:
 - a. See listserve message from Phoenix on 1/31
- VII. New Business
 - A. TF report - Single yellow centerline, UVC provisions on turning across double yellow line, arrows with left turn lane signs (see 9/6/05 email from Dave Woodin) – Dave Woodin
 - B. Islands for non-parallel lanes (see 10/11/05 email from Scott Wainwright) – Scott Wainwright
 - C. In-roadway lighting (see TM+E article provided by Bob Canfield), same as LED Lines
- VIII. Other items
 - A. FHWA letters (if time permits)
 - B. FWHA
 - 1. Operations – Scott Wainwright or Hari Kalla
 - 2. Research – Ken Opiela
- IX. Meeting wrap-up
 - A. Review minutes of current meeting
 - B. Prepare agenda for next meeting
 - C. Adjourn